

4. Pretrial services officer Osborne reports as follows:

She [Ms. Romoser] has been in full compliance since her placement on pretrial supervision approximately six months ago. She abides by her curfew, in fact, she is usually home several hours before her curfew. Most of the time she is home with her parents when she is not at work. She is employed full-time and is enrolled in treatment. According to her counselor, she is consistent with attendance and is engaged. She has consistently attended drug testing without submitting any positive tests.

We like to prioritize location monitoring technology and utilize it for individuals who present significant risk factors, as location monitoring cases are very time consuming for the officer. Currently, she is not exhibiting any risk factors, such as criminal activity, drug use, or flight. It's not necessarily interfering with anything in her life, other than it's expensive and uncomfortable. Yes, in a way it is a reward for her compliance, but I believe the potential removal of the device has given her incentive to do well.

5. Aaron Pennekamp, counsel for the Government, has been advised of the proposed removal of location monitoring and has no objection.

By this stipulation, Ms. Romoser now moves to have special conditions #16, 17 and 18 removed.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 6, 2022

McGregor Scott
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Aaron Pennekamp
Aaron Pennekamp
Assistant U.S. Attorney

For the United States

Dated: December 6, 2022

By: /s/ Toni White
TONI WHITE

For Defendant Heather Romoser

ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED

Dated: December 9, 2022



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE